arXiv:math/0112256v1 [math.DG] 22 Dec 2001

A FULLY NONLINEAR CONFORMAL FLOW ON LOCALLY CONFORMALLY FLAT MANIFOLDS

PENGFEI GUAN AND GUOFANG WANG

Abstract. We study a fully nonlinear flow for conformal metrics. The long-time existence and the sequential convergence of flow are established for locally conformally flat manifolds. As an application, we solve the σ_k-Yamabe problem for locally conformal flat manifolds when $k \neq n/2$.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g_0) be a compact, connected smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let S_g denote the Schouten tensor of the metric g, i.e.,

$$S_g = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(Ric_g - \frac{R_g}{2(n-1)} \cdot g\right),$$

where Ric_g and R_g are the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of g respectively. We are interested in deforming the metric in the conformal class $[g_0]$ of g_0 to certain extremal metric along some curvature flow.

To introduce the flow, let σ_k-*scalar curvature* of g (see [16]) be

$$\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(g^{-1} \cdot S_g),$$

where $g^{-1} \cdot S_g$ is defined locally by $(g^{-1} \cdot S_g)^i_j = g^{ik}(S_g)_{kj}$, and $\sigma_k(A)$ is the kth elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of $n \times n$ matrix A. When $k = 1$, σ_1-scalar curvature is just the scalar curvature R (upto a constant multiple). For $k > 1$, it is natural to consider σ_k in the positive cone

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \{\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \sigma_j(\Lambda) > 0, \forall j \leq k\}.$$

A metric g is said to be in Γ_k^+ if $\sigma_k(g)(x) \in \Gamma_k^+, \quad \forall x \in M$.

We propose the following curvature flow

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{d}{dt} g & = & -(\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)) \cdot g, \\ g(0) & = & g_0, \end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

where $r_k(g)$ is given by

$$r_k(g) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{vol(g)} \int_M \log \sigma_k(g)\, dvol(g)\right).$$

Date: October 2001.

Research of the first author was supported in part by NSERC Grant OGP-0046732.

The main goal of this paper is to prove the following existence and convergence result of flow (1) on locally conformally flat manifolds.

Theorem 1. *Suppose (M, g_0) be a compact, connected and locally conformally flat manifold. Assume that $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$ and smooth, then flow (1) exists for all time $0 < t < \infty$ and $g(t) \in C^\infty(M)$ $\forall t$. There exist two positive constants C, β depending only on g_0, k and n (independent of t), such that,*

$$\|g\|_{C^2(M)} \le C, \quad \text{and } \forall k \ne n/2 \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} \|\sigma_k(g) - \beta\|_{L^2(M)} = 0, \tag{2}$$

where the norms are taken with respect to the background metric g_0. Furthermore, $\forall k \ne n/2$, for any sequence $t_n \to \infty$, there is a subsequence $\{t_{n_l}\}$ with $g(t_{n_l})$ converging in $C^{1,\alpha}$-norm ($\forall 0 < \alpha < 1$) to some smooth metric g and

$$\sigma_k(g) = \beta. \tag{3}$$

If $k = 1$, flow (1) is a logarithmic version of the Yamabe flow introduced by Hamilton [7] in connection to the Yamabe problem [19]. The Yamabe problem is a problem of deforming scalar curvature to a constant along the conformal class, the final solution was obtained by Schoen [12] after works of Aubin [1], Trudinger [15] and others. For the study of the Yamabe flow, we refer to [20] and [3].

The problem of finding constant σ_k-curvature in the conformal class for $k \ge 2$ is a fully nonlinear version of the Yamabe problem. This problem was considered in [16]. It's reduced to a nonlinear elliptic equation. The main difficulty in this elliptic approach is the lack of compactness. One way to attack the problem is the blow-up analysis as in [13] to rule out the standard sphere. In the case $k = n$, Viaclovsky obtained a sufficient condition for the solution of this nonlinear problem in [17]. Chang, Gursky and Yang [2] solved this problem for $k = 2, n = 4$ on general 4 dimensional manifolds using a priori estimates and blow-up analysis. To do the blow-up analysis, one needs local estimates and classification of resulting entire solutions of the corresponding equation on $\mathbb{R}^n$. These type of local estimates for the fully nonlinear version of Yamabe problem has been established by us in [6] for general cases. Flow (1) is designed as a parabolic approach to the problem. As a consequence of our main result, we obtain the solution for the fully nonlinear version of Yamabe problem for locally conformally flat manifolds when $k \ne \frac{n}{2}$ (of course, the $k = 1$ is a result of Schoen [12]).

Corollary 1. *If (M, g_0) is a compact, connected and locally conformally flat manifold and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, $k \ne \frac{n}{2}$, then there is a smooth metric $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ in $[g_0]$ such that the σ_k-curvature of g is a positive constant.*

Remark 1. *If (M, g_0) is a compact, connected and locally conformally flat manifold with positive Ricci curvature, then (M, g_0) is conformally equivalent to a space form. Hence, Corollary 1 is trivial for the case $k = n$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect and derive some properties of σ_k and its functionals.

Let $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The k-th elementary symmetric function is defined as

$$\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

A real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A is said to lie in Γ_k^+ if its eigenvalues lie in Γ_k^+.

Let A_{ij} be the $\{ij\}$-entry of an $n \times n$ matrix. Then for $0 \le k \le n$, the kth *Newton transformation* associated with A is defined to be

$$T_k(A) = \sigma_k(A)I - \sigma_{k-1}(A)A + \cdots + (-1)^k A^k.$$

We have (see [11])

$$T_k(A)^i_j = \frac{1}{k!}\delta^{i_1 \ldots i_k i}_{j_1 \ldots j_k j} A_{i_1 j_1} \cdots A_{i_k j_k},$$

where $\delta^{i_1 \ldots i_k i}_{j_1 \ldots j_k j}$ is the generalized Kronecker delta symbol. Here we use the summation convention. By definition,

$$\sigma_k(A) = \frac{1}{k!}\delta^{i_1 \ldots i_k}_{j_1 \ldots j_k} A_{i_1 j_1} \cdots A_{i_k j_k},$$

$$T_{k-1}(A)^i_j = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(A)}{\partial A_{ij}}.$$

The following properties of σ_k and Γ_k^+ are well-known (e.g., see [4]).

Proposition 1. *We have*

1. *Each set* Γ_k^+ *is an open convex cone.*
2. $T_{k-1}(A)$ *is positive definite when* $A \in \Gamma_k^+$.
3. $\log \sigma_k$ *and* $\sigma_k^{1/k}$ *are concave.*

The following are some variational characterizations of $\sigma_k(g)$ (see [16]).

Proposition 2. *If (M,g) is locally conformally flat, then* $T_k(S_g)$ *is divergence free with respect to the metric* g, *i.e., for any orthonormal frame,* $\forall j$

$$\sum_i \nabla_i (T_k(S_g)^i_j) = 0. \tag{4}$$

If $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$, *any solution of equation (3) is a critical point of the functional*

$$\mathcal{F}_k(g) = vol(g)^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} \int_M \sigma_k(g)\, dvol(g) \tag{5}$$

in $[g_0]$.

Now we consider some properties of flow (1).

Lemma 1. *The flow (1) preserves the volume. When* $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$ *and* g_0 *is locally conformally flat, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{F}_k(g) = -\frac{n-2k}{2} vol(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}} \int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g))(\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)). \tag{6}$$

Therefore, for $k < n/2$, $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{F}_k(g(t)) \le 0$, *and for* $k > n/2$, $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{F}_k(g(t)) \ge 0$.

Proof: The volume is preserved, as

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{d}{dt}vol(g) &= \int_M g^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}g\, dvol(g)\\ &= \int_M (\log\sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g))\, dvol(g) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

On any locally conformally flat manifold, from the computation in [16],

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_M \sigma_k(g)\, dvol(g) = \frac{n-2k}{2}\int_M \sigma_k(g)g^{-1}\cdot\frac{d}{dt}g\, dvol(g).$$

Since flow preserves the volume, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{F}_k(g) &= \frac{n-2k}{2}vol(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}}\int_M \sigma_k(g)g^{-1}\cdot\frac{d}{dt}g\, dvol(g)\\ &= \frac{n-2k}{2}vol(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}}\int_M (\sigma_k(g)-r_k(g))g^{-1}\cdot\frac{d}{dt}g\, dvol(g)\\ &= -\frac{n-2k}{2}vol(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}}\int_M (\sigma_k(g)-r_k(g))(\log\sigma_k(g)-\log r_k(g))dvol(g).\end{aligned}$$

■

If $g = e^{-2u}\cdot g_0$, one may compute that (see [16])

$$\sigma_k(g) = e^{2ku}\sigma_k\left(\nabla^2 u + du\otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}\right).$$

Equation (1) can be written in the following form

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} 2\frac{du}{dt} &= \log\sigma_k\left(\nabla^2 u + du\otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}\right) + 2ku - \log r_k\\ u(0) &= u_0.\end{aligned}\right. \tag{7}$$

If $g = v^{-2}g_0$, equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} 2\frac{dv}{dt} &= \log\sigma_k\left(\frac{\nabla^2 v}{v} - \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}g_0 + S_{g_0}\right) + 2k\log v - \log r_k\\ v(0) &= v_0.\end{aligned}\right. \tag{8}$$

Here all covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the fixed metric g_0.

Since $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, flow (1) is parabolic near $t = 0$, by the standard implicit function theorem we have the following short-time existence result.

Proposition 3. *For any $g_0 \in C^2(M)$ with $\sigma_k(g_0) \in \Gamma_k^+$, there exists a positive constant T^* such that flow (1) exists and parabolic for $t \in [0, T^*)$, and $\forall T < T^*$,*

$$g \in C^{3,\alpha}([0,T]\times M), \forall 0<\alpha<1, \quad \textit{and} \quad \sigma_k(g(t)) \in \Gamma_k^+.$$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish some a priori estimates for flow (1). Here the assumption of the locally conformally flatness is used. By the fundamental result of Schoen-Yau on positive mass theorem, if (M, g_0) is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere, there is a precise asymptotic property for the developing mapping of (M, g_0) into the standard sphere [14]. Schoen applied this property together with Alexandrov's moving plane method developed in [5] to obtain the compactness in [13]. Similar approach was also taken by Ye in [20] to obtain a Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe flow. With little modification, the proof in [20] yields the following Harnack type estimates for flow (8).

Proposition 4. *Let (M, g_0) be a locally conformally flat manifold and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$. If $g(t) = v^{-2}g_0$ is a solution of (8) with initial metric g_0 with $\sigma_k(g(t)) \in \Gamma_k^+$ in a time interval $[0, T^*)$, then*

$$\sup_M \frac{|\nabla_{g_0} v|}{v} \le C, \qquad \textit{for } x \in M, t \in [0, T^*), \tag{9}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on g_0, k and n.

Proof: One only needs to consider the case (M, g_0) is not conformally covered by ^{n}. By [14], there is a conformal diffeomorphism Φ from the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ of M onto a dense domain Ω of ^{n}. The boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω is non-empty. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be the covering map and $\tilde{g} = (\Phi^{-1})^*\pi^* g$ the pull-back metric. Set $\tilde{g} = \tilde{v}^{-2} g_{n}$, where g_{n} is the standard metric of the unit sphere. By the conformal invariance, we have

$$\begin{cases} 2\dfrac{d\tilde{v}}{dt} &= \tilde{v}^2 \sigma_k^{1/k}\left(\dfrac{\nabla^2\tilde{v}}{\tilde{v}} - \dfrac{|\nabla\tilde{v}|^2}{2\tilde{v}^2} g_{n} + S_{g_{n}}\right) - r_k^{1/k}, \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \tilde{v}(0) &= \tilde{v}_0. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Here $\nabla\tilde{v}$ and $\nabla^2\tilde{v}$ are derivatives with respect to the standard metric g_{n}. A result of [14] implies

Asymptotic fact: $\forall T < T^*, \tilde{v}^{-1}(x, t) \to \infty, \quad$ uniformly $\forall t \le T, \quad$ as $x \to \partial\Omega$.

Ye used the moving plane method of [5] to prove the Harnack inequality for solutions of Yamabe flow. The main ingredients in his proof are:

1. The **aymptotic fact**;
2. Certain growth conditions at ∞ of the transformed function w of $\tilde{v}$ under the stereographic projection from ^{n} to $\mathbf{R}^n$;
2. The invariance of the resulting parabolic equation for w in $\mathbf{R}^n$ under reflections and translations.

In fact, the explicit form of the equation for Yamabe flow were not used in the proof of the Harnack inequality in [20]. As all these ingredients are available for flow (8), the proof in [20] can be adapted to get the Harnack type inequality (9) for flow (8). We will not repeat it here. ■

By Lemma 1, the volume is preserved under flow (1). For $u = \log v$ satisfying (7), we have

Corollary 2. *There exists a constant C depending only on (M, g_0), k and n, such that for any solution u of flow (7),*

$$\|u\|_{C^1} \leq C. \tag{11}$$

Now, we consider the C^2-estimation for flow (1). We note that in the case of the Yamabe flow, C^2 and higher regularity estimates follow directly from C^1 estimates because the leading term is the heat equation. When $k \geq 2$, flow (1) is fully nonlinear. It is not elliptic until the establishment of C^2 estimates and the positivity of $\sigma_k(g)$ in the time interval considered. C^2 estimates are crucial to the global existence of flow (1).

Proposition 5. *Let $g = e^{-2u}g_0$ be a solution of flow (1) with $\sigma_k(g(t)) \in \Gamma_k^+$ on $M \times [0, T^*)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on g_0, k and n (independent of T^*) such that*

$$|\nabla^2 g(t)| \leq c, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*). \tag{12}$$

Proof: For any local frame $e_1, ..., e_n$, we denote $u_i = \nabla_i u$ and $u_{li} = \nabla_l \nabla_i u$ the first and second covariant derivatives with respect to the background metric g_0. The similar notation will be used for the higher order covariant derivatives.

We want to bound Δu. If $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma_k(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}) \in \Gamma_k^+$, we know that

$$|u_{ij}| \leq c_1(\Delta u + |\nabla u| + 1).$$

Hence we only need to get an upper bound of Δu. Consider $G := (\Delta u + m|\nabla u|^2)$ on $M \times [0, T]$ for a given $T \in (0, T^*)$. Here m is a constant to be fixed later. Assume G achieves the maximum at $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times [0, T]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $G(x_0, t_0) \geq 1$. Since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded, we may also assume that at the point

$$G \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}).$$

By the Newton-MacLaurin inequality, at this point

$$G \geq \frac{n}{2}(\frac{k!(n-k)!}{n!})^{\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}). \tag{13}$$

We have, at (x_0, t_0),

$$G_t = \sum_l (u_{llt} + 2m u_{lt} u_l) \geq 0 \tag{14}$$

and

$$G_i = \sum_l (u_{lli} + 2m u_{li} u_l) = 0. \tag{15}$$

In what follows, we indicate c to be the constant (which may vary line form line) depending only on the quantities specified in the proposition. By (15) we have at (x_0, t_0),

$$|\sum_l u_{lli}| \leq cG, \qquad \text{for all } i. \tag{16}$$

Furthermore the matrix (G_{ij}) is semi-negative definite at this point. Let $W = (W_{ij})$ be a matrix defined by

$$W_{ij} = u_{ij} + u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} + S(g_0)_{ij}$$

and $F = \log \sigma_k(W)$. Set

$$F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial W_{ij}}.$$

We may assume that (W_{ij}) is diagonal at x_0, so (F^{ij}) is also diagonal at the point. From the positivity of (F^{ij}), we have

$$0 \geq \sum_{i,j} F^{ij} G_{ij} \quad = \quad \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}(u_{llij} + 2mu_{li}u_{lj} + 2u_{lij}u_l). \tag{17}$$

Since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded, commutators of the covariant derivatives can be estimated as,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{lij} - u_{ijl}| &\leq c, \\ |u_{llij} - u_{ijll}| &\leq cG. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In view of (14)–(18) and the concavity of F, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_{i,j} F^{ij} G_{ij} \\ &\geq \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}(u_{ijll} + 2mu_{li}u_{lj} + 2u_{ijl}u_l) - c\sum_i F^{ii} G \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}\{w_{ijll} - (u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} + S(g_0)_{ij})_{ll} + 2mu_{li}u_{lj} \\ &\quad +2mw_{ijl}u_l - 2mu_l(u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2\delta_{ij} + S(g_0)_{ij})_l\} - c\sum_i F^{ii}G \\ &\geq \Delta F + 2m\sum_l F_l u_l + \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ii}u_{jl}^2 + 2(m-1)\sum_{i,l} F^{ii}u_{li}^2 - c\sum_i F^{ii}G \\ &\geq \Delta F + 2m\sum_l F_l u_l + \frac{1}{n}G^2\sum_i F^{ii} + 2(m-1)\sum_{i,l}F^{ii}u_{li}^2 - c\sum_i F^{ii}G. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Recall that $F = u_t - 2ku - \log r(g)$, (14) and (19) yield

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (\Delta u_t + 2m\sum_l u_l u_{tl}) - 2k\Delta u - 4mk|\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n}\sum_i F^{ii}G^2 + 2(m-1)\sum_i F^{ii}u_{ii}^2 - c\sum_i F^{ii}G \qquad (20) \\ &\geq \{-2kG + 2(m-1)\sum F^{ii}u_{ii}^2\} + \sum_i F^{ii}(\frac{1}{n}G^2 - cG). \end{aligned}$$

We *claim* that for suitable large $m > 1$,

$$-2kG + 2(m-1)\sum F^{ii}u_{ii}^2 \geq -c(1 + \sum_i F^{ii}G). \tag{21}$$

Since W and (F^{ij}) are diagonal at the point, $\lambda_i = W_{ii}$ and

$$W_i = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \cdots),$$

it is easy to check that $F^{ii} = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(W_i)}{\sigma_k(W)}$. From (20) and the identity

$$\sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(W_i) = (n-k+1)\sigma_{k-1}(W),$$

if the *claim* is true,

$$\frac{\sigma_{k-1}(W)}{\sigma_k(W)}(G^2 - cG) \leq c. \tag{22}$$

The Newton-MacLaurin inequality yields

$$\frac{\sigma_{k-1}(W)}{\sigma_k(W)} \geq \frac{kn}{(n-k+1)tr(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0})}.$$

Then the Proposition follows from (13) and (22).

Now we prove the *claim*. From (11) and the assumption that $G(x_0, t_0) \geq 1$, there is a constant $c > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\sum F^{ii}u_{ii}^2 \geq \sum F^{ii}w_{ii}^2 - c\sum F^{ii}G.$$

Since W is diagonal at the point, we have the identity (e.g., see [8])

$$\sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(W_i)w_{ii}^2 = \sigma_1(W)\sigma_k(W) - (k+1)\sigma_{k+1}(W).$$

In turn,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum F^{ii}w_{ii}^2 &= \frac{1}{\sigma_k(W)}\sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(W_i)w_{ii}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_k(W)}(\sigma_1(W)\sigma_k(W) - (k+1)\sigma_{k+1}(W)). \end{aligned}$$

When $\sigma_{k+1}(W) \leq 0$, the claim is automatically true. Hence we assume that $\sigma_{k+1}(W) > 0$. The Newton-MacLaurin inequality

$$(k+1)\sigma_{k+1} \leq \frac{n-k}{n}\sigma_1\sigma_k$$

yields

$$\sum F^{ii}w_{ii}^2 \geq \frac{k}{n}\sigma_1(W).$$

Now the claim is verified if we choose $m > n+1$. This completes the proof of the Proposition. ■

4. A nonlinear eigenvalue problem

In this section, we consider a nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\sigma_k^{1/k}\left(\nabla^2\phi + d\phi\otimes d\phi - \frac{|\nabla\phi|^2}{2} + S_{g_0}\right) = \lambda, \tag{23}$$

where covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the background metric. We say ϕ is admissible if $e^{-2\phi}g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$. We refer to [10] and [18] for the treatment of other types of nonlinear eigenvalue problems.

Theorem 2. *Let $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$. Then there exists a function ϕ and a positive number λ such that ϕ is an admissible solution of equation (23). (ϕ, λ) is unique, in the sense that if there are two admissible (ϕ, λ) and (ϕ', λ') satisfying (23), then $\lambda = \lambda'$ and $\phi = \phi' + c$ for some constant c.*

In order to prove Theorem 2, we introduce an auxiliary equation

$$\sigma_k^{1/k}\left(\nabla^2 u + du\otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + S_{g_0}\right) = he^u + f, \tag{24}$$

for some functions $f > 0$, $h \geq 0$.

Proposition 6. *Let $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, suppose $\frac{1}{L} \leq f \leq L$ for some constant $L > 0$ and $h \geq 0$. If u is a C^4 admissible solution of (24) and* $\max_M u = \gamma$ *for some constant γ, then for each $0 < \alpha < 1$, $l \geq 2$ integer, there is a constant C depending only on l, α, g_0, L, γ, $\|h\|_{C^l}$, $\|f\|_{C^l}$ such that $\|u\|_{C^{l+1,\alpha}(M)} \leq C$.*

Proof of Proposition 6. We will repeat the arguments in [6] for the a priori estimates. In fact, stronger local estimates hold for the solutions of (24) following the same lines of the proofs in [6]. Here we only concentrate on the global estimates.

We first obtain a C^1 bound. Since $\max_M u = \gamma$, we only need to bound the gradient of u. Let $W = (\nabla^2 u + du\otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0})$ and let w_{ij} be the entries of W. Set $H = |\nabla u|^2$ and assume that H achieves its maximum at x_0. After appropriate choice of the normal coordinates at x_0, we may assume that W is diagonal at the point. Since x_0 is the maximum point of H, we have $H_i(x_0)=0$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{il}u_l = 0. \tag{25}$$

We may assume that $H(x_0)\ge A_0^2$ and $|S_{g_0}|\le A_0^{-1}|\nabla u|^2$ for some large fixed number A_0 to be chosen later.

Since x_0 is the maximum point of H, the matrix $(H_{ij}) = (2u_{lij}u_l + 2u_{il}u_{jl})$ is semi-negative definite. Set

$$F^{ij} = \frac{\partial {\sigma_k}^{1/k}}{\partial w_{ij}}.$$

(F^{ij}) is a diagonal matrix at x_0 as W is diagonal.

Again, as in the proof of Proposition 5, we denote C (which may vary from line to line) as a constant depending only on the quantities mentioned in this proposition. Since $F=(he^u+f)^{1/k}$, $\sum_l F_l u_l \le C(H+1)$. We have

$$0 \ge F^{ij}H_{ij} = F^{ij}(2u_{lij}u_l + 2u_{il}u_{jl}). \tag{26}$$

Using (25), after commuting the covariant derivatives, the first term in (26) can be estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}u_{ijl}u_l &\ge \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}u_{ijl}u_l - C|\nabla u|^2\sum_i F^{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}\{w_{ijl}u_l - (u_iu_j - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}\delta_{ij})_l u_l\} - C|\nabla u|^2\sum_i F^{ii} \\ &= \sum_l F_l u_l - 2\sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}u_{il}u_ju_l + \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii}u_{kl}u_ku_l - C|\nabla u|^2\sum_i F^{ii} \\ &\ge -C|\nabla u|^2\sum_i F^{ii}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Here we have used the homogeneity $\sum_i F^{ii}w_{ii} = F$.

By Lemma 1 in [6], for A_0 sufficiently large (depending only on k, n, and $\|g_0\|_{C^3}$)

$$\sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij}u_{il}u_{jl} \ge A_0^{-\frac{3}{4}}|\nabla u|^4 \sum_{i\ge 1} F^{ii}. \tag{28}$$

(27) and (28), together with (26) yield the desired C^1 estimate.

With the C^1 bound, a C^2 bound can be obtained following the same lines of proof of Proposition 5 in the previous section. We may assume $k\ge 2$, since C^2 bound for $k=1$ follows from linear elliptic theory. Since u is admissible, in this case we only need to get an upper bound for Δu as in the proof of Proposition 5. We estimate the maximum of $G=(\Delta u+|\nabla u|^2)$. We note that $F=\sigma_k^{1/k}(\nabla^2 u + du\otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0})$ is also concave.

At any maximum point $y_0 \in M$, by the similar computations as in (19) and (20) in the proof of Proposition 5, we have,

$$0 \geq \Delta F + 2\textstyle\sum_l F_l u_l + \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} u_{kl}^2 - C(1+G)\sum_i F^{ii}. \tag{29}$$

We estimate the terms on the right hand side. As $F = (he^u + f)^{1/k}$, we have

$$\sum_l F_l u_l \geq -C, \quad \sum_{l \geq 1} F_{ll} \geq -CG.$$

By the facts $\sum F^{ii} \geq 1$ for $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and $G(y_0) \geq 1$, the above yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -C\sum F^{ii}G + \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii}u_{kl}^2 \geq -C\sum F^{ii}G + \frac{1}{n}\sum_i F^{ii}(\Delta u)^2 \\ &\geq \sum F^{ii}\left\{-CG + \frac{1}{n}G^2\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

It follows from (30) that at y_0, $G \leq C$.

The higher regularity estimates follow from the Evans-Krylov theorem (e.g., [9]). ■

Proof of Theorem 2. First we want to prove that for small $\lambda > 0$ the following equation has a unique smooth admissible solution

$$\tilde{F}(u) =: \sigma_k^{1/k}\left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + S_{g_0}\right) - e^u = \lambda. \tag{31}$$

Since $\frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial u} < 0$, the uniqueness for the solutions of (31) follows from the Maximum principle. For the same reason, the kernel of the linearized operator of $\tilde{F}$ is trivial at any admissible v. We note that for any admissible u and $\forall 0 \leq t \leq 1$, since $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, $u_t = tu$ is also admissible. The linearized operator of $\tilde{F}$ at $u_0 = 0$ is $L(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_{g_0})\nabla_{g_0}^2 \rho\} - h\rho$. By (4), it is self-adjoint with respect to the metric g_0. Since the index of elliptic operator is invariant under homotopy, so the kernel of the adjoint operator of the linearized operator of $\tilde{F}$ at u is trivial. That is, the linearized operator of $\tilde{F}$ is invertible at every admissible solution u. This fact will be used later in the proof.

We now want to show the existence using the continuity method. Since $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, there is a constant $C_1 > 1$ such that $C_1^{-1} < \sigma_k^{1/k}(S_{g_0}) < C_1$. Thus, for small $\lambda > 0$, one can find two constants $\underline{\delta} < 0 < \overline{\delta}$ such that

$$e^{\underline{\delta}} + \lambda < \sigma_k^{1/k}(S_{g_0}) < e^{\overline{\delta}} + \lambda.$$

Let $v = \underline{\delta}$, we have $\tilde{F}(v) = f$ for some smooth positive function $f \geq \lambda$. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, let us consider the equation

$$\tilde{F}(u) = t\lambda + (1-t)f. \tag{32}$$

By the Maximum principle, for any solution u of (32), $\min u \geq \min v = \underline{\delta}$. Also for $\tilde{v} = \overline{\delta}$, as $\tilde{F}(\tilde{v}) < \lambda$, again by the Maximum principle, $\max u \geq \max \tilde{v} = \overline{\delta}$. That is, u is bounded.

By Proposition 6 we have the uniform a priori estimates for solution u of (32). This implies the closeness. The openness follows from the standard implicit function theorem since the linearized operator of $\tilde{F}$ is invertible. Therefore, the existence of the unique solution of (31) is established for $\lambda > 0$ small.

Set

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda > 0 \,|\, (31) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

Since $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$, we define

$$\lambda^* = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda.$$

We claim λ^* is finite. For any admissible solution u of (31), by the Newton-MacLaurin inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &< e^u + \lambda = \sigma_k^{1/k}(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}) \\ &\le \frac{(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n} \sigma_1(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}) \\ &= \frac{(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n} (\Delta u - \frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^2) + cR_0, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where R_0 is the scalar curvature function of (M, g_0) and c is a constant depending only on n and k. Integrating the above inequality over M, we get $\lambda \le c\frac{\int_M R_0 dvol(g_0)}{Vol(g_0)}$. We conclude that $\lambda^* \le c\frac{\int_M R_0 dvol(g_0)}{Vol(g_0)}$.

For any sequence$\{\lambda_i\} \subset \Lambda$ with $\lambda_i \to \lambda^*$, and let u_{λ_i} be the corresponding solution of (31) with $\lambda = \lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. We claim that $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \to -\infty$ as $i \to \infty$. Suppose $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \ge -C_0$. From equation (31), at any maximum point x_0 of u_{λ_i}, $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \le -C$ for some constant C depending only on n, k, g_0. Then Proposition 6 implies that u_{λ_i} (by taking a subsequence) converges to a smooth function u_0 in C^4, such that u_0 satisfies (31) for $\lambda = \lambda_1$. As remarked at the beginning of the proof, the linearized operator of equation (31) is invertible. By the standard implicit function theorem, we have a solution of (31) for $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, this is a contradiction. Hence $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \to -\infty$ as $i \to \infty$. Now let $w_{\lambda_i} = u_{\lambda_i} - \max_M u_{\lambda_i}$. It is clear that w_{λ_i} satisfies,

$$\sigma_k^{1/k}\left(\nabla^2 w_{\lambda_i} + dw_{\lambda_i} \otimes dw_{\lambda_i} - \frac{|\nabla w_{\lambda_i}|^2}{2} g + S_g\right) = e^{\max_M u_{l_i}} e^w + \lambda_i$$

with $\max_M w_{\lambda_i} \to 0$. By Proposition 6 again, w_{λ_i} converges to a smooth function ϕ in C^4 and ϕ satisfies (23) with $\lambda = \lambda^*$.

Finally we prove the uniqueness. For each admissible u, let

$$W = (\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0})$$

and

$$a_{ij}(W) = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(W)}{\partial w_{ij}}.$$

For any smooth functions u_0 and u_1, let $v = u_1 - u_0$, $u_t = tu_1 + (1-t)u_0$ and $W_t = \Delta u_t + du_t \otimes du_t - \frac{|\nabla u_t|^2}{2} g + S_g$. By (4), the following identity holds

$$\sigma_k(W_1) - \sigma_k(W_0) = \sum_{ij} \nabla_j[(\int_0^1 a_{ij}(W_t)dt)\nabla_i v] + \sum_l b^l \nabla_l v, \tag{34}$$

for some bounded functions b^l, $l = 1, ..., n$. If $u_0 = \phi$ and $u_1 = \phi'$ are two admissible solutions of (23) for some λ and λ' respectively, then $(\int_0^1 a_{ij}(W_t)dt)$ is positive definite. Therefore, $\phi = \phi' + c$ for some constant c by (4) and the Maximum principle. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. ■

5. Existence and convergence

First, we want to use the a priori estimates established in the section 3 to obtain the long time existence of flow . These estimates are independent of time t, as long as $u(t,x)$ stays in the positive cone Γ_k^+. Therefore, to establish the long time existence, we need to show σ_k stays strictly positive for all t.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\sigma_k(g) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_k(g)} tr\{T_{k-1}(S_g)\nabla_g^2 \log\sigma_k(g)\} + \log\sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g). \tag{35}$$

Proof: It is easy to check, see for example [11],

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_k(g) \quad = \quad k\sigma_k(g) g \cdot \frac{d}{dt}(g^{-1}) + \mathrm{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g) g^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}S_g\}.$$

Under the conformal change $g = e^{-2u}g_0$, the Schouten tensor is changed as follows

$$S_g = \nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}S_g = \nabla_g^2 \frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_g^2(g^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}g).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\log\sigma_k(g) &= -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_k^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g)g^{-1}\nabla_g^2(g^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}g)\} + \log\sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)\\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_k(g)}\mathrm{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g)\nabla_g^2\log\sigma_k(g)\} + \log\sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g).\end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 3. *Suppose $g(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) with initial metric g_0 on a time interval $[0, T^*)$, then there is a positive constant $c > 0$ depending on g_0, k, n (independent of t), such that $\forall t \in [0, T^*)$,*

$$\sigma_k(g(t)) \geq ce^{-\frac{e^t}{c}}. \tag{36}$$

Therefore, flow (1) exists for all $t > 0$.

Proof: By Propositions 4 and 5, $\log r_k(g) \leq C$ for some constant C independent of t. Let $f = e^{-t}\log\sigma_k(g) - Ce^{-t}$. By Lemma 2 f satisfies a parabolic inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}f \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma_k(g)}\mathrm{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g)\nabla_g^2 f\}.$$

Now the corollary follows from the maximum principle. Again by Propositions 4, 5, and what we just proved, flow (1) stays uniformly parabolic in $[0, T)$ for any fixed $T < \infty$. By Krylov Theorem for fully nonlinear parabolic equations [9], $g(t) \in C^{2,\alpha_T}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T$ for some $\alpha_T > 0$. In turn, $\|g\|_{C^l([0,T]\times M)} \leq C_{l,T}$ for all $l \geq 2$. Now the long time existence follows from the standard parabolic PDE theory. ■

The next proposition will also be used in our proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 7. *If $g_0 \in \Gamma_+^k$, then there is no $C^{1,1}$ function $u \in \overline{\Gamma_+^k}$ such that $\sigma_k(g) = 0$ for $g = e^{-2u}g_0$ in the viscosity sense.*

Proof of Proposition 7. By Theorem 2, there is a smooth admissible solution ϕ of (23) for some $\lambda > 0$. Assume by contradiction that there is a $C^{1,1}$ solution u of

$$\sigma_k\left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g + S_g\right) = 0.$$

Let $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = \phi$ and $v = u_1 - u_0$, then (34) still holds for v in weak sense (since $u \in C^{1,1}$). Also, $(\int_0^1 a_{ij}(W_t)dt)$ is uniformly positive definite everywhere since $a_{ij}(W_t)$ is semi-positive definite for all t and uniformly positive definite for $\delta \leq t \leq 1$, $\forall \delta > 0$. By Maximum principle again, $u = \phi + c$ for some constant c. That is,

$$0 = \sigma_k\left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g + S_g\right) = \sigma_k(W_1) = \lambda > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. The a priori estimates and the longtime existence are proved in Proposition 5 and Corollary 3. We now prove the convergence for $k \neq n/2$. Since the volume is preserved under flow (1), from (6) we have $\forall T$

$$\int_0^T\int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g))(\log\sigma_k(g) - \log r_k)dvol(g)dt \leq \frac{2V^{\frac{n-2k}{2}}(g_0)}{n-2k}|\mathcal{F}_k(g(T)) - \mathcal{F}_k(g_0)|.$$

By Proposition 5, $\sigma_k(g)$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(g)$ are uniformly bounded. We conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty\int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g))^2 dvol(g)dt < \infty. \tag{37}$$

Therefore for any sequence $t_n \to \infty$, there is a subsequence $\{t_{n_l}\})$ such that $r_k(g_{t_{n_L}}) \to \beta$, for some nonnegative constant β, and $\int_M (\sigma_k(g_{t_{n_l}}) - r_k(g_{t_{n_l}}))^2 dvol(g_{t_n}) \to 0$. Again, from Proposition 5 (by taking subsequence) $u(t_{n_l})$ converges to some $C^{1,1}$ function u_∞ in $C^{1,\alpha}$ norm for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. By Lebesgue domination theorem,

$$\sigma_k(g_\infty) = \beta, \quad \text{almost everywhere,} \tag{38}$$

where $g_\infty = e^{-2u_\infty} g_0$. Since $u_\infty \in C^{1,1}$, u_∞ satisfies the equation (38) in viscosity sense. By Lemma 1 $\mathcal{F}_k(g(t))$ is monotonic, β is independent of the choice of the sequence. It follows (37) again that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\sigma_k(g) - \beta\|_{L^2(M)} = 0.$$

Finally, β is positive by (38) and Corollary 7. We conclude that $u_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ by the Evans-Krylov Theorem. We remark that the positivity of β for $k > n/2$ also follows from Lemma 1, Propositions 4 and 5, since $r_k(g_t)$ is uniformly bounded from below. ∎

Remark 2. *With some modifications in our proof, we can establish the similar a priori estimates for flow* $(0 \le l < k \le n)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{d}{dt} g & = & -(\log(\frac{\sigma_k(g)}{\sigma_l(g)}) - \log r_{k,l}(g)) \cdot g, \\ g(0) & = & g_0, \end{array} \right. \tag{39}$$

with $r_{k,l}(g)$ *given by*

$$r_{k,l}(g) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{vol(g)} \int_M \log(\frac{\sigma_k(g)}{\sigma_l(g)})\, dvol(g) \right).$$

Therefore the global existence result is valid for (39).

Remark 3. *When* $k = \frac{n}{2}$, *the right hand side in (6) is trivial. We do not know the behavior of flow (1) at* ∞ *in this case. The sequential convergence of flow (1) is suffice for Corollary 1. It is of interest to know the uniqueness of* g_∞ *and general convergence of flow (1). Finally, we would like to ask whether Theorem 1 is ture without the assumption of locally conformally flatness.*

REFERENCES

[1] T. Aubin, *Équations différentilles non linéaires et probléme de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55** (1976), 269-296.

[2] A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang, *An a priori estimate for a fully nonlinear equation on four-manifolds*, Preprint, August, 2001.

[3] B. Chow, *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 8, 1003–1014.

[4] L. Gårding, *An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials*, J. Math. Mech. **8** (1959), 957–965.

[5] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), no. 3, 209–243.

[6] P. Guan and G. Wang, Local estimates for a class of conformal equation arising from conformal geometry, Preprint, Aug. 2001.

[7] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces,* Mathematics and general relativity, Contemporary Math., Vol. **71**, AMS, (1988), 237-262.

[8] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces.* Acta Math. **183**, (1999), 45–70.

[9] N. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order,* D. Reidel, (1987)

[10] P. L. Lions, *Two remarks on the Mpnge-Amperé*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **142** (1985), 263-275

[11] R. Reilly, *On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph,* Michigan Math. J. **20** (1973), 373–383.

[12] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature,* J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 2, 479–495.

[13] R. Schoen, *On the number of constant scalar curvature metrics in a conformal class,* Differential geometry, 311–320, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math., **52**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.

[14] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature,* Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 1, 47–71.

[15] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22**, (1968), 265-274.

[16] J. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry and the calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316.

[17] J. Viaclovsky, *Estimates and some existence results for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds,* **math-DG**/0104227.

[18] X. J. Wang, *A class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations and related functionals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), no. 1, 25–54.

[19] H. Yamabe, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds,* Osaka Math. J. **12**, 1960 21–37.

[20] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow,* J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 1, 35–50

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONT. L8S 4K1, CANADA., FAX: (905)522-0935
E-mail address: `guan@math.mcmaster.ca`

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, INSELSTR. 22-26, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `gwang@mis.mpg.de`